



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/747,038	12/22/2000	Christopher J. Damien	SBI-078	4697
759	0 04/30/2004		EXAMINER	
Timothy L. Scott SULZER MEDICA INC.			BARRETT, THOMAS C	
Suite 1600	CA INC.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3 East Greenway Plaza Houston, TX 77046-0391			3738 DATE MAILED: 04/30/2004	16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		-:	5N			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer.	09/747,038	DAMIEN, CHRIST	TOPHER J.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas C. Barrett	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence ac	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who is reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a rewithin the statutory minimum of thirty ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered time THS from the mailing date of this of ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Fe	ebruary 2004.					
,-						
, 						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
	Claim(s) <u>4-8,11-22,34,35 and 37</u> is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-8</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,11-22,34,35 and 37</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		,				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) Objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in A	pplication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been	received in this Nationa	ıl Stage			
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	es 🗆 Nation of t	nformal Patent Application (P	ΓΟ-152)			
Paper No/e\/Mail Date	6) ☐ Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/747,038

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION

The request filed on February 23, 2004 for a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 based on parent Application No. 09/747038 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4-8, 11-22, 34-35 and 37 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Specification

The incorporation of essential material in the specification by reference to a foreign application or patent, or to *a publication* is improper. The Applicant states on page 31, "The disclosures of all patents, patent applications and *printed publications* identified herein are incorporated by reference." There are numerous printed publications cited within the specification, i.e. on page 9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/747,038

Art Unit: 3738

'n

Claims 4, 11-22, 34-35, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Damien et al. (WO 98/35653). Damien et al. discloses a spinal cage (p 17, lines 22-30) comprising an osteogenic insert paste made of 2-8% purified bovine tendon Type I collagen (p 3, lines 7-10), particulate demineralized bone matrix (p 15, lines 6-8), 10 mM HCL (p 20) and an osteoinductive substance in the form of bone morphogenetic proteins 1-13 (p11, lines 18-29)), which induce osteogenesis in a subject when inserted into disk spaces of lumbar vertebrae (p 17, lines 22-30). Please Note: The Applicant uses the terms "putty and "paste" interchangeably (i.e. p 26, line 19- p 27, line1).

The spinal cage of claim 34 was treated as a "product-by-process" claim. Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. The purified collagen of Damien et al. is a moldable osteoinductive and can be placed into a spinal cage as noted above. An argument that the resultant product of the process and the spinal cage of the prior art are not the same requires **evidence** establishing the difference between the claimed product and the prior art product. Please note however that the specification of the present invention discloses that the physical properties of the Applicant's composition "is at least equal to" that of Damien et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/747,038

Art Unit: 3738

Conclusion

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas C. Barrett whose telephone number is (703) 308-8295. The examiner can normally be reached Tuesday-Friday between 9:00 A.M. and 6:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (703) 308-2111. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Thomas Barrett

Application/Control Number